

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 5594 10/084,346 02/28/2002 Yu-Fu Huang **SUND 286** EXAMINER 03/19/2004 SHAPIRO, LEONID RABIN & BERDO, P.C. Suite 500 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1101 14th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 2673 DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,346	HUANG, YU-FU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonid Shapiro	2673	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat		is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	drawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2002 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
And the second of the second o		•	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/084,346

Art Unit: 2673

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, Line 15 and 2, Line 3 instead of "geological restriction" should be used 'geographical restriction'.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ditzik (US patent No. 5,983,073).

As to claim 1, Ditzik teaches a notebook computer with a web pad equipped display (See Figs. 1-2, item 2, page 3, Col. 5, Lines 18-22), comprising; a base (cover assembly) unit (See Fig. 1, items 8,9 and 16, Col. 3, Lines 60-61); and a display is detachable from the base unit (item 10, Fig. 1) and can close to and open from the base unit when joined to the base unit (See Figs. 1-2, items 2, 8-10, 16, Col. 3, Lines 60-61), wherein the display comprises: a display panel (See Figs. 1-2, item 2, Col. 4, Lines 18-27), a system (main) bus including a PC Card interface which is electrically connected to the display panel (See Fig. 7, items 60, 44, 2, 9, 27, Col. 12, Lines 34-37); microprocessor (item 38 in Fig. 7, Col. 11, Line 48-49); a telecommunication device which is electrically connected to the main bus (See Fig. 7, items 53-54, 33, Col. 11, Lines 37-46).

Art Unit: 2673

Ditzik teaches a system (main) bus which is electrically connected to the display panel, microprocessor is situated on a system (main) bus and telecommunication device is electrically connected to a system (main) bus.

Ditzik does not show a motherboard which is electrically connected to the display panel, microprocessor is situated on the motherboard and telecommunication device is electrically connected to the motherboard.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement (rename) a system (main) bus as motherboard in Ditzik apparatus in order to combine office desktop and portable/mobile computing and communications applications (See Col. 2, Lines 36-37 in the Ditzik reference).

As to claim 2, modified Ditzik teaches a notebook computer, wherein the display is a webpad, since it has a display panel, motherboard, a microprocessor and telecommunication device (See Figs. 1-2, 7, items 4, 38, 60, 33, from Col. 11, Line 47 to Col. 12, Line 49).

As to claim 3, modified Ditzik teaches a memory unit which is situated on motherboard (See Fig. 7, items 40, 42, Col. 12, Lines 13-17).

As to claim 5, Ditzik teaches the display panel is a Liquid Crystal Display (LCD) (See Figs. 1-2, item 2, Col. 4, Lines 18-27).

As to claim 6, Ditzik teaches the telecommunication device is a wireless telecommunication device (See Figs. 1, 7, items 32, 53-54, 33, Col. 4, Lines 44-59 and Col. 12, Lines 50-67).

"Application/Control Number: 10/084,346

Art Unit: 2673

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ditzik as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Makinwa et al. (US Patent No. 5,750,939).

Ditzik teaches a stylus with which the user uses to touch the display panel to input control signals (See Fig. 2, item 7, Col. 5, Lines 18-22).

Ditzik does not show how to insert stylus into a stylus slot of the display.

Makinwa et al. teaches to insert stylus into a stylus slot of the display (See Fig. 6, items 102, 602, 604, Col. 5, Lines 41-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention toe Makinwa et al. approach of storing stylus in Ditzik apparatus in order to store stylus in standby mode (See Col. 2, Lines 47-48 in the Makinwa et al. reference).

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ditzik as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chen et al. (Pub. No.: US 2001/0030950 A1).

Ditzik does not show the transmission of the telecommunication device is a Bluetooth transmission.

Chen et al. teaches web pad has Bluetooth wireless interface (See Fig. 3, items 52, 56, page 5, paragraph 0067).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to implement Chen et al. approach of Bluetooth interface in Ditzik apparatus in order to provide broadband communications to the home environment (See page 1, paragraph 0016 in the Chen et al. reference).

Art Unit: 2673

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

The Kim (US Patent No. 5, 796, 576) reference discloses notebook computer having a hinge device enabling a display unit to be separable from a main body.

The Moriconi et al. (US Patent No. 6, 950,547 B2) reference discloses removable computer display interface.

The Kikins et al. (US Patent No. 6,523,079 B2) reference discloses micropersonal digital assistant.

Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ls

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6